

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,479 12/05/2003		Joseph A. Sorge	25436/2352	2851
27495	7590 08/28/2006	EXAMINER		INER
PALMER & DODGE, LLP KATHLEEN M. WILLIAMS / STR			BERTAGNA, ANGELA MARIE	
	IGTON AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, M	1A 02199		1637	

DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/730,479	SORGE ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Angela Bertagna	1637					
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	is communication app	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FR - Extensions of time may be available unde after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of - If NO period for reply is specified above, to - Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	OM THE MAILING DA r the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ate of this communication. the maximum statutory period w period for reply will, by statute, three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMI 16(a). In no event, however, m ill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becor	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.						
<u> </u>								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pend	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-57</u> is/are pending in the application.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
· <u> </u>								
· <u> </u>	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to: ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-57</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers		·						
9) The specification is object	ed to by the Examine	•						
	•		to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,							
12) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.	C & 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
								
<u> </u>	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application 146. Stage							
•	•	•		. 0.0.50				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		, 22						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interv	ew Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ing Review (PTO-948)	Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	TO 452)				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		e of Informal Patent Application (PT	U-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-40, drawn to compositions and kits comprising nucleic acid targets and probes, classified in class 536, subclass 24.3, for example.
 - II. Claims 41-57, drawn to a method of detecting a target polynucleotide, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using the product. For example, the nucleic acids of Group I may function in processes materially different than the method of Group II, such as amplification, cloning, and hybridization. Therefore, Inventions I and II are distinct.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,479 Page 3

Art Unit: 1637

4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. A search for the method of Group II would only be directed to the claimed method steps and would not necessarily encompass all of the other areas of the prior art in which the compositions and kits of Group I may be found. Therefore, a coextensive search of Groups I and II presents a serious examination burden, and restriction is proper.

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Art Unit: 1637

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela Bertagna whose telephone number is (571) 272-8291. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571) 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Angela Bertagna Patent Examiner Art Unit 1637

amb

K-ttR. W.L.Z KENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

8/17/06